

page 5 09/650,787 Balachandran 11-16-38

Remarks

Upon the entry of the amendments to the claims, claims 5, 6, 12 and 14 will be pending for consideration. Applicant gratefully acknowledges the withdrawal of all prior art based rejections. Favorable reconsideration is requested.

Rejection of the Claims.

Claim 5, 6, 12 and 14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Claim 5 recites a system for wireless time division multiplexed communications. Time is divided into multiframe having a plurality of frames which in turn has channels that contain data bursts. A predefined number of consecutive even and odd numbered frames make up a multiframe. A channel is made up of a series of data bursts. Therefore, the use of these terms is believed to be clear and consistent in claim 5. The preamble of claim 5 (and claims 6, 12, 14) has been amended to define that a predetermined number of consecutive even and odd numbered frames makes up a multiframe. Claim 5 is amended so that bursts are consistently referred to as "data" bursts throughout the claim. Also, the means for transmitting is amended to define that the digital information is transmitted as data bursts over the channel. Therefore, it is believed that claim 5 is in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and the rejection should be withdrawn.

Similar amendments are made to claim 6. Therefore, it is believed that claim 6 is in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and the rejection should be withdrawn.

The preamble of claim 12 is amended to define a multiframe as consisting of a predetermined number of consecutive even and odd numbered frames. Interleaved data bursts define a first channel, with data bursts and the first channel being consistently referenced in the remainder of claim. Thus, it is believed that claim 12 is in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and the withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

page 6 09/650,787 Balachandran 11-16-38

Claim 14 contains similar amendments made to claim 12. Thus, it is believed that claim 14 is in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and the withdrawal of the rejection is appropriate.

It is submitted that all currently pending claims are now in condition for allowance, which is respectfully requested.

If a telephone conference with applicant's attorney would further the prosecution of the subject patent application, the examiner is invited to contact applicant's attorney at the below indicated telephone number. Favorable reconsideration is sought.

Respectfully submitted,

By Charles L. Warren
Charles L. Warren
Reg. No. 27,407

Date: September 27, 2004

Customer No. 32205
preferred phone contact No. 630-584-9206 home office voice/fax